

Mathematics Stack Exchange is a question and answer site for people studying math at any level and professionals in related fields. It only takes a minute to sign up.

Anybody can ask a question



Anybody can answer

Sign up to join this community

The best answers are voted up and rise to the top



Is Hahn-Banach equivalent to the ultrafilter lemma in ZF

Asked 12 days ago Modified 12 days ago Viewed 83 times



3

I know that the ultrafilter lemma is weaker than the axiom of choice (in ZF) And that in order to prove Choice in ZF from the ultrafilter lemma we need the Krein-Milman theorem so $UF + KM = AC$



Furthermore, I know the Hahn-Banach theorem is weaker than choice but in order to get choice from the Hahn-Banach theorem we need (again) Krein-Milman (in ZF). so $HB + KM = AC$.

(And I please complete ignorance of mathematical logic but...) Doesn't that imply that the Hahn-Banach theorem is logically equivalent to the ultrafilter lemma in ZF?

Or if not, does it then imply that we can use a statement that is weaker than Krein-Milman (call such a statement S) such that $UF + S = AC$?

So the question is either is $HB = UF$ or if not, what is S ?

functional-analysis

logic

axiom-of-choice

filters

hahn-banach-theorem

Share Cite Follow

edited Mar 2 at 14:13



Martin Sleziak

51.4k

19

176

354

asked Mar 2 at 0:08



El Ruño


544

3

13

To add to Asaf's answer the Hahn Banach theorem is equivalent to the fact that every finitely additive measures on subalgebras of boolean algebras can be extended to the whole algebra
– [Math_Images_Only](#) Mar 2 at 0:35

1 Answer

Sorted by: Highest score (default) 



No. Hahn–Banach is weaker than the Ultrafilter Lemma.

4



Pincus, David, [Independence of the prime ideal theorem from the Hahn Banach theorem](#), Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 78, 766-770 (1972). [ZBL0257.02051](#).



In order to use Hahn–Banach to augment Krein–Milman to the full axiom of choice, you need a stronger version of the Krein–Milman theorem.



Bell, J. L.; Fremlin, D. H., [A geometric form of the axiom of choice](#), Fundam. Math. 77, 167-170 (1973). [ZBL0244.46014](#).

Share Cite Follow

answered Mar 2 at 0:23



Asaf Karagila 

380k

44

576

972