

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Approximation properties of Banach spaces, the principle of local reflexivity for operator ideals, and factorisation of operators with finite rank

#### Frank Oertel

School of Mathematics University of Southampton Highfield Southampton SO17 1BJ

Leeds QOP Meeting, September 2013 "Quantum (semi)groups and (co)actions"



#### 1 Operator ideals revisited

2 From 
$$(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$$
 to  $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}})$ 

#### **3** Accessible and quasi-accessible operator ideals

- 4 The principle of local reflexivity for operator ideals
- **5** A few open problems







### 2 From $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ to $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}})$

3 Accessible and quasi-accessible operator ideals

#### 4 The principle of local reflexivity for operator ideals

5 A few open problems

# Why operator ideals?

"Due to immense applications in spectral theory, geometry of Banach spaces, theory of eigenvalue distributions etc., a theory of operator ideals occupies a special importance in functional analysis." – P. D. Srivastava, A. Maji (2013)

# Why operator ideals? Southain

"Due to immense applications in spectral theory, geometry of Banach spaces, theory of eigenvalue distributions etc., a theory of operator ideals occupies a special importance in functional analysis." – P. D. Srivastava, A. Maji (2013)

Here, we would like to add the following point: it has been demonstrated that the already very powerful analytic theory of operator ideals can be significantly strengthened by implementing the no less powerful theory of tensor products of Banach spaces (including its inherent algebraic structure).

# Why operator ideals?

4 ロ ト 4 母 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 う 0 0 0
4/57
4/57

"Due to immense applications in spectral theory, geometry of Banach spaces, theory of eigenvalue distributions etc., a theory of operator ideals occupies a special importance in functional analysis." – P. D. Srivastava, A. Maji (2013)

Here, we would like to add the following point: it has been demonstrated that the already very powerful analytic theory of operator ideals can be significantly strengthened by implementing the no less powerful theory of tensor products of Banach spaces (including its inherent algebraic structure). It all starts with A. Grothendieck's canonical isometric isomorphism:

## Why operator ideals?

"Due to immense applications in spectral theory, geometry of Banach spaces, theory of eigenvalue distributions etc., a theory of operator ideals occupies a special importance in functional analysis." – P. D. Srivastava, A. Maji (2013)

Here, we would like to add the following point: it has been demonstrated that the already very powerful analytic theory of operator ideals can be significantly strengthened by implementing the no less powerful theory of tensor products of Banach spaces (including its inherent algebraic structure). It all starts with A. Grothendieck's canonical isometric isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{L}^{2}(E,F) \cong \mathcal{L}(E,F') \stackrel{(!)}{\cong} G'$$

where  $E, F \in BAN$  and  $G := E \widetilde{\otimes}_{\pi} F$ .



Firstly, let us recall A. Pietsch's highly fruitful definition of an (abstract) operator ideal between Banach spaces:



Firstly, let us recall A. Pietsch's highly fruitful definition of an (abstract) operator ideal between Banach spaces:

Definition (Pietsch – 1978)

An operator ideal A is an assignment of ascribing to each pair of Banach spaces (E, F) a linear subspace A(E, F) of  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfying the following conditions



Firstly, let us recall A. Pietsch's highly fruitful definition of an (abstract) operator ideal between Banach spaces:

Definition (Pietsch – 1978)

An operator ideal A is an assignment of ascribing to each pair of Banach spaces (E, F) a linear subspace A(E, F) of  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfying the following conditions

(I1)  $Id_{\mathbb{K}} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K},\mathbb{K});$ 



Firstly, let us recall A. Pietsch's highly fruitful definition of an (abstract) operator ideal between Banach spaces:

Definition (Pietsch – 1978)

An operator ideal A is an assignment of ascribing to each pair of Banach spaces (E, F) a linear subspace A(E, F) of  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ satisfying the following conditions

- (I1)  $Id_{\mathbb{K}} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{K},\mathbb{K});$
- (I2) If  $E_0$  and  $F_0$  are Banach spaces, then  $RST \in \mathcal{A}(E_0, F_0)$ , whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E), S \in \mathcal{A}(E, F)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$ .

# Operator ideals: definition II Southamp

#### Definition (ctd.)

# Further, if for each pair of Banach spaces (E, F), $\mathcal{A}(E, F)$ is supplied with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ , satisfying

# Operator ideals: definition II Southamp

#### Definition (ctd.)

Further, if for each pair of Banach spaces (E, F),  $\mathcal{A}(E, F)$  is supplied with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ , satisfying

(N1)  $\|Id_{\mathbb{K}}\|_{\mathcal{A}} = 1;$ 

#### Definition (ctd.)

Further, if for each pair of Banach spaces (E, F),  $\mathcal{A}(E, F)$  is supplied with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ , satisfying

(N1) 
$$\|Id_{\mathbb{K}}\|_{\mathcal{A}} = 1;$$

(N2)  $\|RST\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|R\| \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|T\|$ , whenever  $E_0$  and  $F_0$  are Banach spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E), S \in \mathcal{A}(E, F)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$ ,

then the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is called a normed operator ideal.

#### Definition (ctd.)

Further, if for each pair of Banach spaces (E, F),  $\mathcal{A}(E, F)$  is supplied with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ , satisfying

$$(\mathsf{N1}) \| \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{K}} \|_{\mathcal{A}} = 1;$$

(N2)  $\|RST\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|R\| \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|T\|$ , whenever  $E_0$  and  $F_0$  are Banach spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E), S \in \mathcal{A}(E, F)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$ ,

then the pair  $\left(\mathcal{A},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$  is called a normed operator ideal. If in addition

(N3)  $\left(\mathcal{A}(E,F), \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$  is a Banach space,

then the pair  $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$  is called a Banach operator ideal, or Banach ideal, for short.

#### Definition (ctd.)

Further, if for each pair of Banach spaces (E, F),  $\mathcal{A}(E, F)$  is supplied with a *p*-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ , with 0 , satisfying

$$(\mathsf{N1}) \| \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{K}} \|_{\mathcal{A}} = 1;$$

(N2)  $\|RST\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|R\| \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|T\|$ , whenever  $E_0$  and  $F_0$  are Banach spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, E), S \in \mathcal{A}(E, F)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(F, F_0)$ ,

then the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is called a *p*-normed operator ideal. If in addition

(N3)  $(\mathcal{A}(E,F), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a *p*-Banach space,

then the pair  $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$  is called a *p*-Banach operator ideal, or *p*-Banach ideal, for short.

# Banach ideals: important examples I Southain

<ロ>< 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 日 > < 8/57

(*F*, ||·||): the normed operator ideal consisting of all operators of finite rank between Banach spaces. It is the smallest operator ideal. However, there is no way to make it a Banach ideal.

## Banach ideals: important examples I

- (*F*, ||·||): the normed operator ideal consisting of all operators of finite rank between Banach spaces. It is the smallest operator ideal. However, there is no way to make it a Banach ideal.
- (L, ||·||): the largest Banach ideal consisting of the class of all bounded linear operators between Banach spaces;

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

# Banach ideals: important examples I

<ロ > < 母 > < 喜 > < 喜 > 言 ? Q Q ? 8/57

- (*F*, ||·||): the normed operator ideal consisting of all operators of finite rank between Banach spaces. It is the smallest operator ideal. However, there is no way to make it a Banach ideal.
- (L, ||·||): the largest Banach ideal consisting of the class of all bounded linear operators between Banach spaces;
- (*F*, ||·||): the Banach ideal of all approximable linear operators;

## Banach ideals: important examples I

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 2000 8/57

- (*F*, ||·||): the normed operator ideal consisting of all operators of finite rank between Banach spaces. It is the smallest operator ideal. However, there is no way to make it a Banach ideal.
- (L, ||·||): the largest Banach ideal consisting of the class of all bounded linear operators between Banach spaces;
- (*F*, ||·||): the Banach ideal of all approximable linear operators;
- $(\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|)$ : the Banach ideal of all compact linear operators;

## Banach ideals: important examples I Southampto

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 2000 8/57

- (*F*, ||·||): the normed operator ideal consisting of all operators of finite rank between Banach spaces. It is the smallest operator ideal. However, there is no way to make it a Banach ideal.
- (L, ||·||): the largest Banach ideal consisting of the class of all bounded linear operators between Banach spaces;
- (*F*, ||·||): the Banach ideal of all approximable linear operators;
- $(\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|)$ : the Banach ideal of all compact linear operators;
- (𝒘, ||·||): the Banach ideal consisting of all weakly compact operators

#### Southampton School of Mathematics

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 900 8/57

### Banach ideals: important examples I

- (*F*, ||·||): the normed operator ideal consisting of all operators of finite rank between Banach spaces. It is the smallest operator ideal. However, there is no way to make it a Banach ideal.
- (L, ||·||): the largest Banach ideal consisting of the class of all bounded linear operators between Banach spaces;
- (*F*, ||·||): the Banach ideal of all approximable linear operators;
- $(\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|)$ : the Banach ideal of all compact linear operators;
- (W, ||·||): the Banach ideal consisting of all weakly compact operators (coinciding with the class of all those bounded linear operators between Banach spaces which factor through a reflexive Banach space).



### Banach ideals: important examples II

- $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}})$ : the smallest *Banach* ideal consisting of the class of all nuclear operators between Banach spaces:  $T \in \mathcal{N}(E, F)$  iff there exist sequences  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E'$  and  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq F$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\| \|y_n\| < \infty$  and  $T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \cdot, a_n \rangle y_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \otimes y_n$ , implying that
  - $||T||_{\mathcal{N}} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||a_n|| ||y_n|| : T = \dots \right\}$  is well-defined.

## School of Mathematics

### Banach ideals: important examples II

(N, ||·||<sub>N</sub>): the smallest Banach ideal consisting of the class of all nuclear operators between Banach spaces:

 $T \in \mathcal{N}(E, F) \text{ iff there exist sequences } (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E' \text{ and } (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq F \text{ such that } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\| \|y_n\| < \infty \text{ and } T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \cdot, a_n \rangle y_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \underline{\otimes} y_n, \text{ implying that } \|T\|_{\mathcal{N}} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\| \|y_n\| : T = \dots \right\} \text{ is well-defined.}$ 

(I, ||·||<sub>I</sub>): the Banach ideal of all integral operators:
 T ∈ I(E, F) iff there exists a constant c ≥ 0 such that for all finite rank operators L ∈ F(F, E)

$$|\operatorname{tr}(TL)| \leq c ||L||$$
.

 $||T||_{\mathcal{I}} := \inf\{c : c \text{ satisfies } \ldots\}$  is well-defined for any integral operator *T*.



Banach ideals: important examples III

Theorem (Grothendieck – 1956)

 $T \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$  if and only if there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and operators  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P}))$ ,  $R \in \mathcal{L}(L^{1}(\mathbb{P}), F'')$ , such that the following diagram commutes



and  $||T||_{\mathcal{I}} = \inf\{||R|| ||S|| : ...\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible  $\mathbb{P}$ 's, *R*'s, and *S*'s.

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<



<ロ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > 言 2000 10/57

Banach ideals: important examples III

Theorem (Grothendieck – 1956)

 $T \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$  if and only if there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and operators  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P}))$ ,  $R \in \mathcal{L}(L^{1}(\mathbb{P}), F'')$ , such that the following diagram commutes



and  $||T||_{\mathcal{I}} = \inf\{||R|| ||S|| : ...\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible  $\mathbb{P}$ 's, *R*'s, and *S*'s. Main Idea of a Proof (Grothendieck + tensor norms !) Put  $\Omega := B_{E'} \times B_{F''}$ . Then  $E \otimes_{\varepsilon} F' \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{P}) \otimes_{\varepsilon} (L^{1}(\Omega, \mathbb{P}))'$ ...

### Banach ideals: important examples IV school of Mathematica

South

Fix 1 ≤ p < ∞, and consider (P<sub>p</sub>, ||·||<sub>P<sub>p</sub></sub>), the Banach ideal of all absolutely *p*-summing operators; i. e., the class of all operators between Banach spaces which map weakly *p*-summable sequences in *E* to strongly *p*-summable sequences in *F*.

### Banach ideals: important examples IV

• Fix  $1 \le p < \infty$ , and consider  $(\mathcal{P}_p, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_p})$ , the Banach ideal of all absolutely *p*-summing operators; i. e., the class of all operators between Banach spaces which map weakly *p*-summable sequences in *E* to strongly *p*-summable sequences in *F*. Hence,  $T \in \mathcal{P}_p(E, F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for all  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in E^n$ 

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \|Tx_k\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \sup\left\{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\langle x_k, a \rangle|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} : a \in B_{E'}\right\},$$

implying that  $||T||_{\mathcal{P}_p} := \inf\{c : c \text{ satisfies } \ldots\}$  is well-defined for any absolutely *p*-summing operator *T*.

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

South

School of Ma



### Banach ideals: important examples V

 (L<sub>2</sub>, ||·||<sub>L<sub>2</sub></sub>): the Banach ideal consisting of all operators between Banach spaces which factor through a Hilbert space:



 $||T||_{\mathcal{L}_2} := \inf\{||R|| ||S|| : T = RS \dots\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible Hilbert spaces *H* and factorising *R*'s and *S*'s.

### Banach ideals: important examples VI school

South

<ロト</th>
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●

•  $(\mathcal{L}_{\infty}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}})$ : the Banach ideal consisting of all operators between Banach spaces which factor through some C(K), where *K* is a compact set, in the following sense:



 $||T||_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}} := \inf\{||R|| ||S|| : T = RS ...\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible spaces C(K) (*K* compact) and factorising *R*'s and *S*'s.

### Banach ideals: important examples VII show

South

 (L<sub>1</sub>, ||·||<sub>L<sub>1</sub></sub>): the Banach ideal consisting of all operators between Banach spaces which factor through some L<sup>1</sup>(μ), where μ is a Borel-Radon measure, in the following sense:



 $||T||_{\mathcal{L}_1} := \inf\{||R|| ||S|| : T = RS...\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible spaces  $L^1(\mu)$  ( $\mu$  a Borel-Radon measure) and factorising *R*'s and *S*'s.



<ロト</th>
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●

#### 1 Operator ideals revisited

### 2 From $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ to $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}})$

3 Accessible and quasi-accessible operator ideals

#### 4 The principle of local reflexivity for operator ideals

**5** A few open problems



In the following, if not differently stated, let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  always be an arbitrary *p*-Banach ideal and  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  always an arbitrary *q*-Banach ideal, where  $0 < p, q \leq 1$ .



In the following, if not differently stated, let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  always be an arbitrary *p*-Banach ideal and  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  always an arbitrary *q*-Banach ideal, where  $0 < p, q \leq 1$ .

If an arbitrary operator ideal is given, one can "derive canonically" further operator ideals, such as e.g. the following very important candidates:

# Dual operator ideal and regular hull

•  $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}})$ : the dual *p*-Banach ideal.  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}(E, F)$  iff  $T' \in \mathcal{A}(F', E')$ ;  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}} := \|T'\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;

# Dual operator ideal and regular hull

<ロ > < 団 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 > 三 2000 17/57

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}})$ : the dual *p*-Banach ideal.  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}(E, F)$  iff  $T' \in \mathcal{A}(F', E')$ ;  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}} := \|T'\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}})$ : the regular hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}(E, F)$ iff  $j_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F'')$ , where  $j_F : F \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} F''$  is the canonical injection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}} := \|j_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
<ロ > < 団 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 > 三 2000 17/57

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}})$ : the dual *p*-Banach ideal.  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}(E, F)$  iff  $T' \in \mathcal{A}(F', E')$ ;  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}} := \|T'\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}})$ : the regular hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}(E, F)$ iff  $j_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F'')$ , where  $j_F : F \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} F''$  is the canonical injection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}} := \|j_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;

 $\text{Recall that } \left(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \text{ is regular iff } \left(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}}\right).$ 

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 3000 17/57

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}})$ : the dual *p*-Banach ideal.  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}(E, F)$  iff  $T' \in \mathcal{A}(F', E')$ ;  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}} := \|T'\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{reg}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{reg}}})$ : the regular hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{reg}}(E, F)$ iff  $j_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F'')$ , where  $j_F : F \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} F''$  is the canonical injection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{reg}}} := \|j_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;

Recall that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is regular iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{reg}})$ . Examples

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}})$ : the dual *p*-Banach ideal.  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}(E, F)$  iff  $T' \in \mathcal{A}(F', E')$ ;  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}} := \|T'\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}})$ : the regular hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}(E, F)$ iff  $j_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F'')$ , where  $j_F : F \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} F''$  is the canonical injection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}} := \|j_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;

Recall that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is regular iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{reg}})$ . Examples

> <ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 3000 17/57

• 
$$(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}) \stackrel{(!)}{\neq} (\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{reg}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{reg}}}) = (\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{dual}}});$$

South

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 3000 17/57

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}})$ : the dual *p*-Banach ideal.  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}(E, F)$  iff  $T' \in \mathcal{A}(F', E')$ ;  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}} := \|T'\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}})$ : the regular hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}(E, F)$ iff  $j_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F'')$ , where  $j_F : F \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} F''$  is the canonical injection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}} := \|j_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;

Recall that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is regular iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{reg}})$ . Examples

• 
$$\left(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}\right) \stackrel{(!)}{\neq} \left(\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{reg}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{reg}}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{dual}}}\right);$$

• 
$$\left(\mathcal{K}^{dual}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\mathcal{K}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right);$$

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}})$ : the dual *p*-Banach ideal.  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}(E, F)$  iff  $T' \in \mathcal{A}(F', E')$ ;  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{dual}}} := \|T'\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{reg}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{reg}}})$ : the regular hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{reg}}(E, F)$ iff  $j_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F'')$ , where  $j_F : F \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} F''$  is the canonical injection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{reg}}} := \|j_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;

Recall that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is regular iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{reg}})$ . Examples

•  $\left(\mathcal{N}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{N}}\right) \stackrel{(!)}{\neq} \left(\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{reg}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{reg}}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{dual}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\operatorname{dual}}}\right);$ 

• 
$$\left(\mathcal{K}^{dual}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\mathcal{K}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right);$$

•  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_1}) = (\mathcal{P}_1^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_1^{reg}}) \subsetneq (\mathcal{L}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2}) = (\mathcal{L}_2^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{reg}}) = (\mathcal{L}_2^{dual}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{dual}}).$ 

Southa



Injective and surjective operator ideals

•  $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}})$ : the injective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}(E, F)$ iff  $J_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F^{\infty})$ , where  $F^{\infty} := C(B_{F'})$  and  $J_F : F \xrightarrow{1} F^{\infty}$ is the canonical isometric embedding.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}} := \|J_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;



<ロト</th>
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●

#### Injective and surjective operator ideals

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}})$ : the injective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}(E, F)$ iff  $J_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F^{\infty})$ , where  $F^{\infty} := C(B_{F'})$  and  $J_F : F \stackrel{1}{\to} F^{\infty}$ is the canonical isometric embedding.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}} := \|J_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}})$ : the surjective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}(E, F)$  iff  $TQ_E \in \mathcal{A}(E^1, F)$ , where  $E^1 := l_1(B_E, \mathbb{K})$ and  $Q_E : E^1 \xrightarrow{1} E$  is the canonical metric surjection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}} := \|TQ_E\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;



<ロト</th>
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●

#### Injective and surjective operator ideals

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}})$ : the injective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}(E, F)$ iff  $J_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F^{\infty})$ , where  $F^{\infty} := C(B_{F'})$  and  $J_F : F \stackrel{1}{\to} F^{\infty}$ is the canonical isometric embedding.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}} := \|J_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}})$ : the surjective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}(E, F)$  iff  $TQ_E \in \mathcal{A}(E^1, F)$ , where  $E^1 := l_1(B_E, \mathbb{K})$ and  $Q_E : E^1 \xrightarrow{1} E$  is the canonical metric surjection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}} := \|TQ_E\|_{\mathcal{A}};$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Recall that } \left(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \mbox{ is injective (respectively surjective) iff} \\ \left(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{A}^{\mbox{ inj}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\mbox{ inj}}}\right) \mbox{ (resp. } \left(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{A}^{\mbox{ sur}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\mbox{ sur}}}\right) ). \end{array}$ 



<ロト</th>
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●

#### Injective and surjective operator ideals

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}})$ : the injective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}(E, F)$ iff  $J_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F^{\infty})$ , where  $F^{\infty} := C(B_{F'})$  and  $J_F : F \stackrel{1}{\to} F^{\infty}$ is the canonical isometric embedding.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}} := \|J_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}})$ : the surjective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}(E, F)$  iff  $TQ_E \in \mathcal{A}(E^1, F)$ , where  $E^1 := l_1(B_E, \mathbb{K})$ and  $Q_E : E^1 \xrightarrow{1} E$  is the canonical metric surjection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}} := \|TQ_E\|_{\mathcal{A}};$

Recall that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is injective (respectively surjective) iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{inj}})$  (resp.  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{sur}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{sur}})$ ). Examples



#### Injective and surjective operator ideals

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}})$ : the injective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}(E, F)$ iff  $J_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F^{\infty})$ , where  $F^{\infty} := C(B_{F'})$  and  $J_F : F \stackrel{1}{\to} F^{\infty}$ is the canonical isometric embedding.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}} := \|J_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}})$ : the surjective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}(E, F)$  iff  $TQ_E \in \mathcal{A}(E^1, F)$ , where  $E^1 := l_1(B_E, \mathbb{K})$ and  $Q_E : E^1 \xrightarrow{1} E$  is the canonical metric surjection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}} := \|TQ_E\|_{\mathcal{A}};$

Recall that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is injective (respectively surjective) iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{inj}})$  (resp.  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{sur}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{sur}})$ ). Examples

• 
$$\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) \stackrel{(!)}{\subsetneq} \left(\mathcal{K}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inj}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{sur}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right);$$



4日 > 4 母 > 4 国 > 4 国 > 目 2000
18/57

#### Injective and surjective operator ideals

- $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}})$ : the injective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}(E, F)$ iff  $J_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F^{\infty})$ , where  $F^{\infty} := C(B_{F'})$  and  $J_F : F \stackrel{1}{\to} F^{\infty}$ is the canonical isometric embedding.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inj}}} := \|J_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}})$ : the surjective hull of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .  $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}(E, F)$  iff  $TQ_E \in \mathcal{A}(E^1, F)$ , where  $E^1 := l_1(B_E, \mathbb{K})$ and  $Q_E : E^1 \xrightarrow{1} E$  is the canonical metric surjection.  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{sur}}} := \|TQ_E\|_{\mathcal{A}};$

Recall that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is injective (respectively surjective) iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{inj}})$  (resp.  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{sur}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{sur}})$ ). Examples

• 
$$(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \|\cdot\|) \stackrel{(!)}{\subsetneq} (\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|) = (\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|) = (\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{sur}, \|\cdot\|);$$

•  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_1}) = (\mathcal{I}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{inj}})$  is not surjective.

 $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is an element of the product ideal  $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}(E, F)$  iff there exists a Banach space *G* and operators  $R \in \mathcal{B}(E, G)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{A}(G, F)$  such that T = SR:



 $||T||_{A \circ B} := \inf\{||S||_A \cdot ||R||_B : T = RS ...\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible Banach spaces *G*, and factorising *R*'s and *S*'s.

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

 $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is an element of the product ideal  $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}(E, F)$  iff there exists a Banach space *G* and operators  $R \in \mathcal{B}(E, G)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{A}(G, F)$  such that T = SR:



 $||T||_{A \circ B} := \inf\{||S||_A \cdot ||R||_B : T = RS ...\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible Banach spaces *G*, and factorising *R*'s and *S*'s.

Examples

 $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is an element of the product ideal  $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}(E, F)$  iff there exists a Banach space *G* and operators  $R \in \mathcal{B}(E, G)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{A}(G, F)$  such that T = SR:



 $||T||_{A \circ B} := \inf\{||S||_A \cdot ||R||_B : T = RS ...\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible Banach spaces *G*, and factorising *R*'s and *S*'s.

Examples

• 
$$(\mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{I}}) \stackrel{(!)}{=} (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}) \subsetneq (\mathcal{N}^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{reg}}) = (\mathcal{N}^{dual}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{dual}}) = (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W}});$$

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

South

 $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is an element of the product ideal  $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}(E, F)$  iff there exists a Banach space *G* and operators  $R \in \mathcal{B}(E, G)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{A}(G, F)$  such that T = SR:



 $||T||_{A \circ B} := \inf\{||S||_A \cdot ||R||_B : T = RS ...\}$ , where the infimum is taken over all possible Banach spaces *G*, and factorising *R*'s and *S*'s.

Examples

• 
$$(\mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{I}}) \stackrel{(!)}{=} (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}) \subsetneq (\mathcal{N}^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{reg}}) = (\mathcal{N}^{dual}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{dual}}) = (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W}});$$
  
•  $(\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{N}}) \stackrel{(!)}{=} (\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2}) \subsetneq (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}).$ 

# Products of operator ideals II Southar

Remark  $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}})$  is a *r*-Banach ideal, where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . In general,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}}$  is not a norm.

<ロト<部ト<車ト<車ト<車ト<車ト 20/57

# Products of operator ideals II Southant

Remark  $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}})$  is a *r*-Banach ideal, where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . In general,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}}$  is not a norm.

# Products of operator ideals II Southant

Remark  $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}})$  is a *r*-Banach ideal, where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . In general,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}}$  is not a norm.

• 
$$(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) := (\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$$
 is a Banach ideal.

South

Remark  $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}})$  is a *r*-Banach ideal, where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . In general,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}}$  is not a norm.

- $(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) := (\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a Banach ideal.
- $(\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Banach ideal, but not a Banach ideal !

South

Remark  $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}})$  is a *r*-Banach ideal, where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . In general,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}}$  is not a norm.

- $(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) := (\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a Banach ideal.
- $(\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Banach ideal, but not a Banach ideal ! (why?)

### Products of operator ideals II school of

South

Remark  $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}})$  is a *r*-Banach ideal, where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . In general,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}}$  is not a norm.

- $(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) := (\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a Banach ideal.
- $(\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Banach ideal, but not a Banach ideal ! (why?)
- $(\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2^{dual}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2^{dual}}) \subseteq (\mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2}).$

#### Products of operator ideals II School of M

South

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Remark  $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}})$  is a *r*-Banach ideal, where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . In general,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}}$  is not a norm.

- $(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) := (\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a Banach ideal.
- (P<sub>2</sub> ∘ P<sub>2</sub>, || · ||<sub>P<sub>2</sub> ∘ P<sub>2</sub></sub>) is a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-Banach ideal, but not a Banach ideal ! (why?)
- $(\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2^{\operatorname{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2^{\operatorname{dual}}}) \subseteq (\mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2}).$
- $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) \subseteq (\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2})$  iff  $(\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}}) \subseteq (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}).$

### Products of operator ideals II School of Mat

South

Remark  $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}})$  is a *r*-Banach ideal, where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ . In general,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}}$  is not a norm.

- $(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) := (\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2^{dual} \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a Banach ideal.
- $(\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2 \circ \mathcal{P}_2})$  is a  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Banach ideal, but not a Banach ideal ! (why?)
- $\bullet \ \left(\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \ \mathcal{P}_2^{\textit{dual}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \ \mathcal{P}_2^{\textit{dual}}}\right) \subseteq \left(\mathcal{P}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_2}\right).$
- $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) \subseteq (\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2})$  iff  $(\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}}) \subseteq (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}).$
- Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) \subseteq (\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{A}})$  is not a Banach ideal.

# Products of operator ideals III Southant

Theorem (Grothendieck's inequality in operator form) *Every* (bounded linear) operator from  $l_1$  to  $l_2$  is absolutely 1-summing, and

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq K_G \|T\|$$

for some (universal) constant  $K_G > 0$ .

Theorem (Grothendieck's inequality in operator form) *Every* (bounded linear) operator from  $l_1$  to  $l_2$  is absolutely 1-summing, and

 $\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq K_G \|T\|$ 

for some (universal) constant  $K_G > 0$ . Moreover,

 $\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1.$ 

Theorem (Grothendieck's inequality in operator form) *Every* (bounded linear) operator from  $l_1$  to  $l_2$  is absolutely 1-summing, and

 $\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq K_G \|T\|$ 

for some (universal) constant  $K_G > 0$ . Moreover,

 $\mathcal{L}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(\cdot, l_{1}\right) \stackrel{(!)}{=} \mathcal{N}^{reg} \circ \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(\cdot, l_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{reg}\left(\cdot, l_{1}\right).$ 

# Products of operator ideals III School of M

Theorem (Grothendieck's inequality in operator form) *Every* (bounded linear) operator from  $l_1$  to  $l_2$  is absolutely 1-summing, and

 $\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq K_G \|T\|$ 

for some (universal) constant  $K_G > 0$ . Moreover,

 $\mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty \circ \mathcal{L}_2 \stackrel{(!)}{\subseteq} \mathcal{N}^{reg}$ .

# Products of operator ideals III Southa

Theorem (Grothendieck's inequality in operator form) *Every* (bounded linear) operator from  $l_1$  to  $l_2$  is absolutely 1-summing, and

 $\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq K_G \|T\|$ 

for some (universal) constant  $K_G > 0$ . Moreover,

 $\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty \stackrel{(!)}{\subseteq} \mathcal{N}$ .

#### South Products of operator ideals III

Theorem (Grothendieck's inequality in operator form) *Every* (bounded linear) operator from  $l_1$  to  $l_2$  is absolutely 1-summing, and

 $\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq K_G \|T\|$ 

for some (universal) constant  $K_G > 0$ . Moreover,

 $\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty \stackrel{(!)}{\subseteq} \mathcal{N}$ .

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \!= \mathcal{P}_1^{\, ext{sur}} \!= \mathcal{P}_1^{\, ext{dual inj}} \!= ig(\mathcal{L}_1 \circ \, \mathcal{L}_\inftyig)^* \,,$$

School of Mat



Products of operator ideals III

Theorem (Grothendieck's inequality in operator form) *Every* (bounded linear) operator from  $l_1$  to  $l_2$  is absolutely 1-summing, and

 $\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}_1} \leq K_G \|T\|$ 

for some (universal) constant  $K_G > 0$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \circ \mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty \stackrel{(!)}{\subseteq} \mathcal{N}$$
.

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{P}_1^{\, ext{sur}} = \mathcal{P}_1^{\, ext{dual inj}} = \left(\mathcal{L}_1 \circ \, \mathcal{L}_\infty 
ight)^*,$$

respectively,

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \left(\mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty\right)^{reg}.$$

### Products of operator ideals IV Southamp

Let us recall the following - now naturally appearing - definition:

Theorem and Definition (GT space)

A Banach space *G* is called Grothendieck space - or short "GT space" if every (bounded linear) operator from *G* to *l*<sub>2</sub> is absolutely 1-summing;

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Let us recall the following - now naturally appearing - definition:

Theorem and Definition (GT space)

A Banach space *G* is called Grothendieck space - or short "GT space" if every (bounded linear) operator from *G* to *l*<sub>2</sub> is absolutely 1-summing; *i. e.*, if

 $\mathcal{L}(G, l_2) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1(G, l_2)$ ,

### Products of operator ideals IV Southam

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Let us recall the following - now naturally appearing - definition:

Theorem and Definition (GT space)

A Banach space *G* is called Grothendieck space - or short "GT space" if every (bounded linear) operator from *G* to *l*<sub>2</sub> is absolutely 1-summing; *i. e.*, if

 $\mathcal{L}(G, l_2) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1(G, l_2),$ 

or equivalently

 $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(l_2,G) \stackrel{(!)}{=} \mathcal{N}^{reg}(l_2,G).$ 

### Products of operator ideals IV Southam

Let us recall the following - now naturally appearing - definition:

Theorem and Definition (GT space)

A Banach space *G* is called Grothendieck space - or short "GT space" if every (bounded linear) operator from *G* to *l*<sub>2</sub> is absolutely 1-summing; *i. e.*, if

 $\mathcal{L}(G, l_2) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1(G, l_2),$ 

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(l_2,G) \stackrel{(!)}{=} \mathcal{N}^{reg}(l_2,G).$$

There exists a constant  $c_G \ge 0$  such that  $||T||_{\mathcal{P}_1} \le c_G ||T||$ .

### Products of operator ideals IV Southam

Let us recall the following - now naturally appearing - definition:

Theorem and Definition (GT space)

A Banach space *G* is called Grothendieck space - or short "GT space" if every (bounded linear) operator from *G* to *l*<sub>2</sub> is absolutely 1-summing; *i. e.*, if

$$\mathcal{L}(G, l_2) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1(G, l_2),$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(l_2,G) \stackrel{(!)}{=} \mathcal{N}^{reg}(l_2,G).$$

There exists a constant  $c_G \ge 0$  such that  $||T||_{\mathcal{P}_1} \le c_G ||T||$ . In particular, we have  $Id_G \in (\mathcal{L}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{L}_2)^*(G, G)$ , and  $||Id_G||_{(\mathcal{L}_{\infty} \circ \mathcal{L}_2)^*} \le c_G$ .

#### Adjoint operator ideal I

Let us recall that  $T \in \mathcal{A}^*(E, F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that

$$|\mathrm{tr}(TJ_M^E SQ_K^F)| \le c \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for all Banach spaces  $M \in FIN(E)$ ,  $K \in COFIN(F)$ , and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F/K, M)$ . If we denote

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all such constants *c*, we obtain a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ , the adjoint of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ .
Let us recall that  $T \in \mathcal{A}^*(E, F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(TJ_{M}^{E}SQ_{K}^{F}\right)| \leq c \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for all Banach spaces  $M \in FIN(E)$ ,  $K \in COFIN(F)$ , and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F/K, M)$ . If we denote

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all such constants c, we obtain a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ , the adjoint of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Recall that a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is maximal iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{**}})$ .

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Let us recall that  $T \in \mathcal{A}^*(E, F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(TJ_{M}^{E}SQ_{K}^{F}\right)| \leq c \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for all Banach spaces  $M \in FIN(E)$ ,  $K \in COFIN(F)$ , and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F/K, M)$ . If we denote

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all such constants c, we obtain a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ , the adjoint of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Recall that a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is maximal iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{**}})$ . Examples

Let us recall that  $T \in \mathcal{A}^*(E, F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(TJ_{M}^{E}SQ_{K}^{F}\right)| \leq c \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for all Banach spaces  $M \in FIN(E)$ ,  $K \in COFIN(F)$ , and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F/K, M)$ . If we denote

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all such constants c, we obtain a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ , the adjoint of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Recall that a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is maximal iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{**}})$ . Examples

• 
$$\left(\mathcal{L}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^*}\right) = \left(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}^*}\right) = \left(\mathcal{K}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}^*}\right);$$

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Let us recall that  $T \in \mathcal{A}^*(E, F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that

$$|\mathrm{tr}\big(TJ_M^E SQ_K^F\big)| \le c \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for all Banach spaces  $M \in FIN(E)$ ,  $K \in COFIN(F)$ , and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(F/K, M)$ . If we denote

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all such constants c, we obtain a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ , the adjoint of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Recall that a Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is maximal iff  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{**}})$ . Examples

• 
$$\left(\mathcal{L}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^*}\right) = \left(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}^*}\right) = \left(\mathcal{K}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}^*}\right);$$

• 
$$(\mathcal{A}^{inj*}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{inj*}}) = ((\mathcal{A}^* \circ \mathcal{L}_{\infty})^{reg}, \|\cdot\|_{(\mathcal{A}^* \circ \mathcal{L}_{\infty})^{reg}})$$

South

# Adjoint operator ideal II Southand

<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 24/57

Theorem Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a maximal Banach ideal such that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{L}_2$  cannot be a 1-Banach ideal.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a maximal Banach ideal such that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{L}_2$  cannot be a 1-Banach ideal.

#### Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a maximal Banach ideal. If  $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{L}_2$  is a Banach ideal, then  $\mathcal{B}^*$  cannot be injective.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Minimal kernel and compact kernel

Of particular importance are the following two product ideal constructions:

• The minimal kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ :

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}}\right) := \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}}\right)$$



### Minimal kernel and compact kernel

Of particular importance are the following two product ideal constructions:

• The minimal kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ :

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}}\right) := \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}}\right)$$

• The compact kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  [Karn-Sinha – 2012]:

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\text{com}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{com}}}\right) := \left(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}}\right)$$





### Minimal kernel and compact kernel

Of particular importance are the following two product ideal constructions:

• The minimal kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ :

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}}\right) := \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}}\right)$$

• The compact kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  [Karn-Sinha – 2012]:

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\text{com}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{com}}}\right) := \left(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}}\right)$$

Examples





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Minimal kernel and compact kernel

Of particular importance are the following two product ideal constructions:

• The minimal kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ :

Examples

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}}\right) := \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}}\right)$$

• The compact kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  [Karn-Sinha – 2012]:

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{com}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{com}}}\right) := \left(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}}\right)$$

• 
$$\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\mathcal{L}^{min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{min}}\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{com}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{com}}\right);$$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Minimal kernel and compact kernel

Of particular importance are the following two product ideal constructions:

• The minimal kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ :

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}}\right) := \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}}\right)$$

• The compact kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  [Karn-Sinha – 2012]:

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{com}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{com}}}\right) := \left(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}}\right)$$

• 
$$\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\mathcal{L}^{min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{min}}\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{com}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{com}}\right);$$

• 
$$\left(\mathcal{N}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{N}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{I}^{min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{I}^{min}}\right);$$

Examples



◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < 亘 ▶ < 亘 ▶ < 亘 ▶ 三 25/57</p>

### Minimal kernel and compact kernel

Of particular importance are the following two product ideal constructions:

• The minimal kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ :

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}}\right) := \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}}\right)$$

• The compact kernel of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  [Karn-Sinha – 2012]:

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{com}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{com}}}\right) := \left(\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{K}}\right)$$

•  $(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \|\cdot\|) = (\mathcal{L}^{min}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^{min}}) = (\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{com}, \|\cdot\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{com}});$ 

• 
$$\left(\mathcal{N}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{N}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{I}^{min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{I}^{min}}\right);$$

Examples

• Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}})$  be a Banach ideal. Then  $(\mathcal{C}^* \circ \mathcal{C}^{\min}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}^* \circ \mathcal{C}^{\min}}) \subseteq (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}).$ 

<ロト<部ト<車ト<車ト<車ト 26/57

 $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E,F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that for all finite rank operators  $L \in \mathcal{F}(F,E)$ 

$$\operatorname{tr}(TL)| \leq c \cdot \|L\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

If we put

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

 $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E,F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that for all finite rank operators  $L \in \mathcal{F}(F,E)$ 

 $|\mathsf{tr}(TL)| \le c \cdot ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

If we put

 $\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}} := \inf\left(c\right),$ 

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

 $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E,F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that for all finite rank operators  $L \in \mathcal{F}(F,E)$ 

$$\operatorname{tr}(TL)| \leq c \cdot \|L\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

If we put

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

• 
$$(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) = (\mathcal{L}_2^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^*}) = (\mathcal{L}_2^{\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\triangle}});$$

 $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E,F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that for all finite rank operators  $L \in \mathcal{F}(F,E)$ 

$$\operatorname{tr}(TL)| \leq c \cdot \|L\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

If we put

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

• 
$$(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) = (\mathcal{L}_2^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^*}) = (\mathcal{L}_2^{\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\triangle}});$$

• 
$$(\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|) = (\mathcal{I}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^*}) \stackrel{(!)}{\neq} (\mathcal{I}^{\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\triangle}});$$

 $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E,F)$  iff there exists a constant  $c \ge 0$  such that for all finite rank operators  $L \in \mathcal{F}(F,E)$ 

$$\operatorname{tr}(TL)| \leq c \cdot \|L\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

If we put

$$\left\|T\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}} := \inf\left(c\right),$$

• 
$$(\mathcal{D}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}) = (\mathcal{L}_2^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^*}) = (\mathcal{L}_2^{\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^{\triangle}});$$

• 
$$(\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|) = (\mathcal{I}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^*}) \stackrel{(!)}{\neq} (\mathcal{I}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\bigtriangleup}});$$

• 
$$(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}) = (\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}\bigtriangleup\bigtriangleup}) = (\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\bigtriangleup}}).$$

#### Southament Conjugate operator ideals II

It is trivial to see that always

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right) \subseteq \left(\mathcal{A}^{*}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}}\right).$$



# Conjugate operator ideals II Southamp

It is trivial to see that always

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right) \subseteq \left(\mathcal{A}^{*}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}}\right).$$

Question Does even isometric equality hold?

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

It is trivial to see that always

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right) \subseteq \left(\mathcal{A}^{*}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}}\right).$$

Question Does even isometric equality hold?

Observation Let *E* and *F* be arbitrary Banach spaces. Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  be as before. If  $\mathcal{A}(E, F) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(E, F)$  and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $S \in \mathcal{F}(E, F)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}^{\triangle}(F, E) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(F, E)$ , and  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\triangle}} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\triangle}}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{B}^{\triangle}(F, E)$ .

# Conjugate operator ideals III Southampton school of Mathematics

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}(E, E)$ 



# Conjugate operator ideals III Southant

<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 28/57

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$ 

# Conjugate operator ideals III Southa

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

# Conjugate operator ideals III Southar

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.



Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

Observation

•  $Id_E \in \mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}(E, E)$ 

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

Observation

•  $Id_E \in \mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}(E, E)$  iff *E* has the *AP* 

# Conjugate operator ideals III Solution

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

#### Observation

•  $Id_E \in \mathcal{N}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff E has the AP iff  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{inj}(F, E) = \mathcal{K}(F, E) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(F, E)$  for all Banach spaces F;

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

- $Id_E \in \mathcal{N}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff E has the AP iff  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{inj}(F, E) = \mathcal{K}(F, E) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(F, E)$  for all Banach spaces F;
- $Id_E \in \mathcal{I}^{\bigtriangleup}(E, E)$

# Conjugate operator ideals III Solution

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

- $Id_E \in \mathcal{N}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff E has the AP iff  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{inj}(F, E) = \mathcal{K}(F, E) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(F, E)$  for all Banach spaces F;
- $Id_E \in \mathcal{I}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff *E* has the BAP (with constant  $\|Id_E\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\triangle}} < \infty$ ).

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

- $Id_E \in \mathcal{N}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff E has the AP iff  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{inj}(F, E) = \mathcal{K}(F, E) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(F, E)$  for all Banach spaces F;
- $Id_E \in \mathcal{I}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff *E* has the BAP (with constant  $\|Id_E\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\triangle}} < \infty$ ).

• 
$$(\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{\bigtriangleup}}) \neq (\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{*}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{*}}) = (\mathcal{P}_{1}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_{1}});$$

Observation  $Id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff there exists a  $c \ge 0$  such that  $|tr(L)| \le c ||L||_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all  $L \in \mathcal{F}(E, E)$  iff  $tr : (\mathcal{F}(E, E), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is continuous.

Observation

- $Id_E \in \mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}(E, E)$  iff E has the AP iff  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{inj}(F, E) = \mathcal{K}(F, E) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(F, E)$  for all Banach spaces F;
- $Id_E \in \mathcal{I}^{\triangle}(E, E)$  iff *E* has the BAP (with constant  $\|Id_E\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\triangle}} < \infty$ ).

• 
$$(\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{\bigtriangleup}})^{(!)} \neq (\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{*}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{*}}) = (\mathcal{P}_{1}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{P}_{1}});$$

 In general, (A<sup>min △</sup>, ||·||<sub>A<sup>min △</sup></sub>) ≠ (A<sup>\*</sup>, ||·||<sub>A<sup>\*</sup></sub>) (due to Banach spaces without AP). However, the following inclusion is always satisfied:

$$\left(\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup} \circ \mathcal{A}^{*}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup} \circ \mathcal{A}^{*}}\right) \subseteq \left(\mathcal{A}^{\min\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min\bigtriangleup}}\right).$$

E ► E 28/57

## Conjugate operator ideals IV Southampto

A deeper investigation of relations between the Banach ideals  $(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}})$  and  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$  needs the analysis of a crucial - and non-trivial - local property, known as "accessibility".

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

A deeper investigation of relations between the Banach ideals  $(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}})$  and  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$  needs the analysis of a crucial - and non-trivial - local property, known as "accessibility".

Related research started with the following problem formulation of Grothendieck in his famous *RÉSUMÉ DE LA THÉORIE MÉTRIQUE DES PRODUITS TENSORIELS TOPOLOGIQUES*:

A deeper investigation of relations between the Banach ideals  $(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}})$  and  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$  needs the analysis of a crucial - and non-trivial - local property, known as "accessibility".

Related research started with the following problem formulation of Grothendieck in his famous *RÉSUMÉ DE LA THÉORIE MÉTRIQUE DES PRODUITS TENSORIELS TOPOLOGIQUES*:

Problem (Grothendieck - 1956)

D'autre part, si on désigne par  $||u||_{\alpha}$  la norme sur  $E \otimes F$  duale de la norme  $|u'|_{\alpha'}$  sur  $E' \otimes F'$ , on aura  $||u||_{\alpha} \leq |u|_{\alpha}$ , mais on ne sait pas si on aura toujours  $||u||_{\alpha} = |u|_{\alpha}$ .

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<





#### 2 From $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ to $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}})$

#### 3 Accessible and quasi-accessible operator ideals

#### 4 The principle of local reflexivity for operator ideals

#### **5** A few open problems



## Accessible operator ideals I

South

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

School of Mat



Let 0 . A*p* $-Banach ideal <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is called right-accessible, if for all  $(M, F) \in \mathsf{FIN} \times \mathsf{BAN}$ , operators  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $N \in \mathsf{FIN}(F)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, N)$ such that the following diagram commutes



and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .
## Quasi-accessible operator ideals I

South

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

School of Mat

Definition Let 0 . A*p* $-Banach ideal <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is called quasi-right-accessible, if there exists a constant  $\kappa \ge 0$  such that for all  $(M, F) \in \mathsf{FIN} \times \mathsf{BAN}$ , operators  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ there are  $N \in \mathsf{FIN}(F)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, N)$  such that the following diagram commutes



and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\kappa \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

## Accessible operator ideals II

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

#### Definition (Reisner 1979, Defant 1986)

Let 0 . A*p* $-Banach ideal <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is called left-accessible, if for all  $(E, N) \in \text{BAN} \times \text{FIN}$ , operators  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, N)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $K \in \text{COFIN}(E)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(E/K, N)$  such that the following diagram commutes



and  $\|R\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

A left- and right-accessible *p*-Banach ideal is called *accessible*.

## Quasi-accessible operator ideals II

Definition Let 0 . A*p* $-Banach ideal <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is called quasi-left-accessible, if there exists a constant  $\kappa \ge 0$  such that for all  $(E, N) \in BAN \times FIN$ , operators  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, N)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ there are  $K \in COFIN(E)$  and  $R \in \mathcal{L}(E/K, N)$  such that the following diagram commutes



and  $\|\mathbf{R}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\kappa \|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

A quasi-left- and quasi-right-accessible *p*-Banach ideal is called *quasi-accessible*.

South

School of Math

## Accessible operator ideals III Southain

<ロト</th>
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●
●

Definition (Reisner 1979, Defant 1986)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is totally accessible, if for every finite rank operator  $T \in \mathcal{F}(E, F)$  between Banach spaces and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $(K, N) \in \text{COFIN}(E) \times \text{FIN}(F)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E/K, N)$  such that the following diagram commutes



and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

## Quasi-accessible operator ideals III

South

<ロト</th>
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●
 ●<

School of Mat

#### Definition $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ is quasi-totally accessible, if there exists a constant $\kappa \geq 0$ such that for every finite rank operator $T \in \mathcal{F}(E, F)$ between Banach spaces and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $(K, N) \in \text{COFIN}(E) \times \text{FIN}(F)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(E/K, N)$ such that the following diagram commutes



and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\kappa \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .



Obviously, every (quasi-)totally accessible *p*-Banach ideal is (quasi-)right- and (quasi-)left-accessible (0 ).



## Accessible maximal Banach ideals I

Obviously, every (quasi-)totally accessible *p*-Banach ideal is (quasi-)right- and (quasi-)left-accessible ( $0 ). In the following, let us assume that <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a maximal Banach ideal.



## Accessible maximal Banach ideals I

Obviously, every (quasi-)totally accessible *p*-Banach ideal is (quasi-)right- and (quasi-)left-accessible ( $0 ). In the following, let us assume that <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a maximal Banach ideal.



## Accessible maximal Banach ideals I

Obviously, every (quasi-)totally accessible *p*-Banach ideal is (quasi-)right- and (quasi-)left-accessible ( $0 ). In the following, let us assume that <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a maximal Banach ideal.

Examples

•  $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}}\right)$  always is accessible;



## Accessible maximal Banach ideals I

Obviously, every (quasi-)totally accessible *p*-Banach ideal is (quasi-)right- and (quasi-)left-accessible ( $0 ). In the following, let us assume that <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a maximal Banach ideal.

- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}}\right)$  always is accessible;
- $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}) = (\mathcal{I}^{\min}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\min}})$  and hence  $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$  are not totally accessible;



## Accessible maximal Banach ideals I

Obviously, every (quasi-)totally accessible *p*-Banach ideal is (quasi-)right- and (quasi-)left-accessible ( $0 ). In the following, let us assume that <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a maximal Banach ideal.

- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}}\right)$  always is accessible;
- $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}) = (\mathcal{I}^{\min}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\min}})$  and hence  $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$  are not totally accessible;
- The maximal Banach ideal (L<sub>∞</sub>, ||·||<sub>L<sub>∞</sub></sub>) = (P<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, ||·||<sub>P<sub>1</sub></sub><sup>\*</sup>) is not totally accessible in contrast to (P<sub>1</sub>, ||·||<sub>P<sub>1</sub></sub>);



## Accessible maximal Banach ideals I

Obviously, every (quasi-)totally accessible *p*-Banach ideal is (quasi-)right- and (quasi-)left-accessible ( $0 ). In the following, let us assume that <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a maximal Banach ideal.

- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}}\right)$  always is accessible;
- $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}) = (\mathcal{I}^{\min}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\min}})$  and hence  $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$  are not totally accessible;
- The maximal Banach ideal (L<sub>∞</sub>, ||·||<sub>L<sub>∞</sub></sub>) = (P<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, ||·||<sub>P<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup></sub>) is not totally accessible in contrast to (P<sub>1</sub>, ||·||<sub>P<sub>1</sub></sub>);
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\textit{inj}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\textit{inj}}}\right)$  always is right-accessible;



Obviously, every (quasi-)totally accessible *p*-Banach ideal is (quasi-)right- and (quasi-)left-accessible ( $0 ). In the following, let us assume that <math>(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a maximal Banach ideal.

- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}}\right)$  always is accessible;
- $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}}) = (\mathcal{I}^{\min}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\min}})$  and hence  $(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}})$  are not totally accessible;
- The maximal Banach ideal (L<sub>∞</sub>, ||·||<sub>L<sub>∞</sub></sub>) = (P<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, ||·||<sub>P<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup></sub>) is not totally accessible in contrast to (P<sub>1</sub>, ||·||<sub>P<sub>1</sub></sub>);
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\textit{inj}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\textit{inj}}}\right)$  always is right-accessible;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{sur}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{sur}})$  always is left-accessible.

## Accessible maximal Banach ideals II school of M

Theorem (Pisier - 1993) There exists a maximal Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}_P, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_P})$  which neither is right-accessible nor left-accessible. Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}_P^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_P^{inj}})$ is not left-accessible.



South

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Theorem (Pisier - 1993)

There exists a maximal Banach ideal  $(A_P, \|\cdot\|_{A_P})$  which neither

is right-accessible nor left-accessible. Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}})$  is not left-accessible.

Theorem Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

Theorem (Pisier - 1993)

There exists a maximal Banach ideal  $(A_P, \|\cdot\|_{A_P})$  which neither

is right-accessible nor left-accessible. Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}})$  is not left-accessible.

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

•  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;

South

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

#### Theorem (Pisier - 1993)

There exists a maximal Banach ideal  $(A_P, \|\cdot\|_{A_P})$  which neither

is right-accessible nor left-accessible. Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}})$  is not left-accessible.

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- $(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}\circ\mathcal{A},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}\circ\mathcal{A}}\right)\subseteq\left(\mathcal{I},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right);$

South

<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

#### Theorem (Pisier - 1993)

There exists a maximal Banach ideal  $(A_P, \|\cdot\|_{A_P})$  which neither

is right-accessible nor left-accessible. Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}})$  is not left-accessible.

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}\circ\mathcal{A},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}\circ\mathcal{A}}\right)\subseteq\left(\mathcal{I},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right);$
- $||T||_{\mathcal{A}} = ||T||_{\mathcal{A}^{* \bigtriangleup}}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  and for all  $(M, F) \in FIN \times BAN;$

#### Theorem (Pisier - 1993)

There exists a maximal Banach ideal  $(A_P, \|\cdot\|_{A_P})$  which neither

is right-accessible nor left-accessible. Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}})$  is not left-accessible.

#### Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}\circ\mathcal{A},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}\circ\mathcal{A}}\right)\subseteq\left(\mathcal{I},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right);$
- $||T||_{\mathcal{A}} = ||T||_{\mathcal{A}^{* \bigtriangleup}}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  and for all  $(M, F) \in FIN \times BAN;$
- $||T||_{\mathcal{A}} = ||T||_{\mathcal{A}^{* \bigtriangleup}}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{F}(E_0, F)$ , and for all Banach space pairs  $(E_0, F)$ , such that  $E'_0$  has the MAP;

South

#### Theorem (Pisier - 1993)

There exists a maximal Banach ideal  $(A_P, \|\cdot\|_{A_P})$  which neither

is right-accessible nor left-accessible. Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_{P}^{inj}})$  is not left-accessible.

#### Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}\circ\mathcal{A},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}\circ\mathcal{A}}\right)\subseteq\left(\mathcal{I},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right);$
- $||T||_{\mathcal{A}} = ||T||_{\mathcal{A}^{* \bigtriangleup}}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  and for all  $(M, F) \in FIN \times BAN;$
- $||T||_{\mathcal{A}} = ||T||_{\mathcal{A}^{* \bigtriangleup}}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{F}(E_0, F)$ , and for all Banach space pairs  $(E_0, F)$ , such that  $E'_0$  has the MAP;
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^*, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^*}\right)$  is left-accessible.

South

Sout

<ロ > < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 39/57

Corollary

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a right-accessible maximal Banach ideal. Let  $E_0 \in BAN$  such that  $Id_{E_0} \in \mathcal{A}(E_0, E_0)$ . Then

 $\mathcal{A}^{*}(E_{0},F)\subseteq\mathcal{I}(E_{0},F)$ 

for all Banach spaces *F*, and  $||T||_{\mathcal{I}} \leq ||Id_{E_0}||_{\mathcal{A}} ||T||_{\mathcal{A}^*}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{A}^*(E_0, F)$ .

South

<ロ > < 回 > < 画 > < 重 > < 重 > 三 39/57

Corollary

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a right-accessible maximal Banach ideal. Let  $E_0 \in BAN$  such that  $Id_{E_0} \in \mathcal{A}(E_0, E_0)$ . Then

 $\mathcal{A}^*(E_0,F) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(E_0,F)$ 

for all Banach spaces *F*, and  $||T||_{\mathcal{I}} \leq ||Id_{E_0}||_{\mathcal{A}} ||T||_{\mathcal{A}^*}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{A}^*(E_0, F)$ .

Corollary Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a right-accessible maximal Banach ideal such that  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*}) \subseteq (\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Let  $E_0 \in BAN$  such that  $Id_{E_0} \in \mathcal{A}^*(E_0, E_0)$ . Then  $E_0$  has the BAP (with constant  $\|Id_{E_0}\|_{\mathcal{A}^*}$ ).



Theorem Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Theorem Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

•  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$  is totally-accessible;



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Theorem Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

•  $\left(\mathcal{A}^{*},\left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}}\right)$  is totally-accessible;

• 
$$(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}}).$$

## Accessible maximal Banach ideals IV Southampton

# Theorem Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{*},\left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}}\right)$  is totally-accessible;
- $\bullet \ \left(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{A}^{*\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*\bigtriangleup}}\right).$

#### Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993)

Let *E* be a Banach space such that  $Id_{E_0} \in \mathcal{A}(E_0, E_0)$ . If the adjoint  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^*})$  is totally-accessible,  $E_0$  must have the BAP (with constant  $\|Id_{E_0}\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ).



<ロ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 ジ Q Q () 41/57

## Accessible maximal Banach ideals V

#### Proposition

Fix an arbitrary Banach space  $E_0$ . Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two arbitrary 1-Banach ideals, such that  $\mathcal{A}(E_0, \cdot) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(E_0, \cdot)$ and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{A}(E_0, \cdot)$ . If  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  is left-accessible, then  $\mathcal{B}^*(\cdot, E_0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*(\cdot, E_0)$ , and  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}$ for all  $T \in \mathcal{B}^*(\cdot, E_0)$ .



<ロ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 ジ Q Q () 41/57

## Accessible maximal Banach ideals V

#### Proposition

Fix an arbitrary Banach space  $F_0$ . Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two arbitrary 1-Banach ideals, such that  $\mathcal{A}(\cdot, F_0) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\cdot, F_0)$ and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{A}(\cdot, F_0)$ . If  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  is right-accessible, then  $\mathcal{B}^*(F_0, \cdot) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*(F_0, \cdot)$ , and  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{B}^*(F_0, \cdot)$ .

South

<ロ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 ジ Q Q () 41/57

School of M

#### Proposition

Fix an arbitrary Banach space  $F_0$ . Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two arbitrary 1-Banach ideals, such that  $\mathcal{A}(\cdot, F_0) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\cdot, F_0)$ and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{A}(\cdot, F_0)$ . If  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  is right-accessible, then  $\mathcal{B}^*(F_0, \cdot) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*(F_0, \cdot)$ , and  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{B}^*(F_0, \cdot)$ .

Theorem Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal.

South

<ロ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 ジ Q Q () 41/57

School of M

#### Proposition

Fix an arbitrary Banach space  $F_0$ . Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two arbitrary 1-Banach ideals, such that  $\mathcal{A}(\cdot, F_0) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\cdot, F_0)$ and  $\|S\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{A}(\cdot, F_0)$ . If  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  is right-accessible, then  $\mathcal{B}^*(F_0, \cdot) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*(F_0, \cdot)$ , and  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{B}^*(F_0, \cdot)$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal.

If D<sub>2</sub> ⊆ A ⊆ L<sub>1</sub>, then (A<sup>\* inj</sup>, ||·||<sub>A</sub>\* inj) is quasi-totally accessible;

#### Southa Accessible maximal Banach ideals V

School of Mat

<ロ > < 団 > < 巨 > < 巨 > 三 = 2000 41/57

#### Proposition

Fix an arbitrary Banach space  $F_0$ . Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_A)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_B)$ be two arbitrary 1-Banach ideals, such that  $\mathcal{A}(\cdot, F_0) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\cdot, F_0)$ and  $||S||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq ||S||_{\mathcal{A}}$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{A}(\cdot, F_0)$ . If  $(\mathcal{B}, ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{B}})$  is right-accessible, then  $\mathcal{B}^*(F_0, \cdot) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*(F_0, \cdot)$ , and  $||T||_{A^*} \leq ||T||_{B^*}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{B}^*(F_0, \cdot)$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a maximal Banach ideal.

- If  $\mathcal{D}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$ , then  $(\mathcal{A}^{*inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*inj}})$  is quasi-totally accessible:
- If  $\mathcal{P}_{1}^{dual} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{2}$ , then  $(\mathcal{A}^{inj}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{inj}})$  is quasi-totally accessible.



Let  $\left(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$  be a maximal Banach ideal. Looking at the "increasing sequence"

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\textit{min}}}\right) \subseteq \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}^{\ast \bigtriangleup}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\ast \bigtriangleup}}\right) \subseteq \left(\mathcal{A}^{\ast \bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\ast \bigtriangleup}}\right) \subsetneq \left(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right),$$

Pisier's counterexample and the accessibility of the "small" ideal  $(\mathcal{A}^{min}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{min}})$  lead to a very natural, yet non-trivial question: is  $(\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}})$  accessible?



Let  $\left(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$  be a maximal Banach ideal. Looking at the "increasing sequence"

$$\left(\mathcal{A}^{min}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{min}}
ight) \subseteq \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}^{st riangle}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{st riangle}}
ight) \subseteq \left(\mathcal{A}^{st riangle}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{st riangle}}
ight) \subsetneq \left(\mathcal{A}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}
ight),$$

Pisier's counterexample and the accessibility of the "small" ideal  $(\mathcal{A}^{min}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{min}})$  lead to a very natural, yet non-trivial question: is  $(\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}})$  accessible? The solution of this question will lead us to a completely different topic; namely:





### 2 From $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ to $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}})$

3 Accessible and quasi-accessible operator ideals

#### 4 The principle of local reflexivity for operator ideals

#### **5** A few open problems

□ ▶ < ⊡ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ</li>
 43/57



Let us have a quick glimpse at the following non-trivial results:

# A common denominator?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let us have a quick glimpse at the following non-trivial results:

• 
$$\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{dual}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{reg}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right)$$
<ロ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 3000 44/57

- $\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{dual}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{reg}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right)$
- Let *E* be a real Banach space. If the non-empty intersection of two closed balls has a center of symmetry, and if dim(*E*) = k < ∞, then *E* is isometric to *l*<sup>k</sup><sub>∞</sub>.

<ロ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 3000 44/57

- $\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{dual}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{reg}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right)$
- Let *E* be a real Banach space. If the non-empty intersection of two closed balls has a center of symmetry, and if dim(*E*) = k < ∞, then *E* is isometric to *l*<sup>k</sup><sub>∞</sub>.
- Let *E* be a Banach space. *E* does not have proper cotype iff there exists *c* ≥ 1 such that for every finite metric space *M*, *M* Lipschitz embeds into *E* with distorsion at most *c*.

- $\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{dual}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{reg}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right)$
- Let *E* be a real Banach space. If the non-empty intersection of two closed balls has a center of symmetry, and if dim(*E*) = k < ∞, then *E* is isometric to *l*<sup>k</sup><sub>∞</sub>.
- Let E be a Banach space. E does not have proper cotype iff there exists c ≥ 1 such that for every finite metric space M, M Lipschitz embeds into E with distorsion at most c.
- Every PCA Banach space E contains a reflexive subspace.

<ロ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 3000 44/57

- $\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{dual}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{reg}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right)$
- Let *E* be a real Banach space. If the non-empty intersection of two closed balls has a center of symmetry, and if dim(*E*) = k < ∞, then *E* is isometric to *l*<sup>k</sup><sub>∞</sub>.
- Let E be a Banach space. E does not have proper cotype iff there exists c ≥ 1 such that for every finite metric space M, M Lipschitz embeds into E with distorsion at most c.
- Every PCA Banach space E contains a reflexive subspace.
- Let E be a Banach space. E has the AP iff Id<sub>E'</sub> belongs to the weak\*-closure of F (E', E').

Let us have a quick glimpse at the following non-trivial results:

- $\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{dual}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right) = \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{reg}, \left\|\cdot\right\|\right)$
- Let *E* be a real Banach space. If the non-empty intersection of two closed balls has a center of symmetry, and if dim(*E*) = k < ∞, then *E* is isometric to *l*<sup>k</sup><sub>∞</sub>.
- Let E be a Banach space. E does not have proper cotype iff there exists c ≥ 1 such that for every finite metric space M, M Lipschitz embeds into E with distorsion at most c.
- Every PCA Banach space E contains a reflexive subspace.
- Let *E* be a Banach space. *E* has the AP iff *Id*<sub>*E'*</sub> belongs to the weak\*-closure of  $\mathcal{F}(E', E')$ .

Do the proofs of these statements reveal a common denominator?



<ロ > < 団 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < 巨 > 三 < 2000 45/57

### The strong principle of local reflexivity I

In fact, all proofs are based on the strong principle of local reflexivity (LRP), coined by Johnson, Lindenstrauss, Rosenthal and Zippin [1969-1971] (which - very roughly speaking - states that every Banach space F is "finitely representable" in its bidual F''):

Theorem (Strong principle of local reflexivity (S-LRP))

Let *F* be an arbitrary Banach space,  $M \in FIN$  an arbitrary finite dimensional space,  $N \in FIN(F')$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F'')$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  such that

(i)  $||S|| \le (1 + \varepsilon) ||T||$ ;

(ii) 
$$\langle Sx, b \rangle = \langle b, Tx \rangle$$
 for all  $(x, b) \in M \times N$ ;

(iii)  $j_FSx = Tx$  for all  $x \in M$ , satisfying  $Tx \in j_F(F)$ .



<ロ>< 団> < 団> < 臣> < 臣> < 臣> 臣 30,00 45/57

### The strong principle of local reflexivity I

In fact, all proofs are based on the strong principle of local reflexivity (LRP), coined by Johnson, Lindenstrauss, Rosenthal and Zippin [1969-1971] (which - very roughly speaking - states that every Banach space F is "finitely representable" in its bidual F''):

Theorem (Strong principle of local reflexivity (S-LRP))

Let *F* be an arbitrary Banach space,  $M \in FIN$  an arbitrary finite dimensional space,  $N \in FIN(F')$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F'')$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  such that

(i)  $||S|| \le (1 + \varepsilon) ||T||$ ;

(ii) 
$$\langle Sx, b \rangle = \langle b, Tx \rangle$$
 for all  $(x, b) \in M \times N$ ;

(iii)  $j_F Sx = Tx$  for all  $x \in M$ , satisfying  $Tx \in j_F(F)$ .

As we will recognise, statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent to the following statement:



 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Proposition} \\ & \left(\mathcal{I}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{L}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right) \text{ is left-accessible.} \end{aligned}$ 



## The strong principle of local reflexivity II

#### Proposition

 $\left(\mathcal{I}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{L}^{\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right) \text{ is left-accessible.}$ 

Moreover, by implementing a result of Pietsch, we conjecture that the S-LRP actually could be viewed as a more general statement involving the geometry of dual Banach spaces with MAP:

> <ロ > < 回 > < 直 > < 亘 > < 亘 > 三 < 2000 46/57

## The strong principle of local reflexivity II

#### Proposition

 $\left(\mathcal{I}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{I}}\right) = \left(\mathcal{L}^{\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right) \text{ is left-accessible.}$ 

Moreover, by implementing a result of Pietsch, we conjecture that the S-LRP actually could be viewed as a more general statement involving the geometry of dual Banach spaces with MAP:

#### Conjecture

Let  $E_0$  be a Banach space, such that  $E'_0$  has the MAP, and let F be an arbitrary Banach space,  $N \in FIN(F')$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{F}(E_0, F'')$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an operator  $R \in \mathcal{F}(E_0, F)$  such that

(i) 
$$||\mathbf{R}|| \leq (1+\varepsilon)||\mathbf{T}||;$$

(ii) 
$$\langle Rz, b \rangle = \langle b, Tz \rangle$$
 for all  $(z, b) \in E_0 \times N$ ;

(iii)  $j_F Rz = Tz$  for all  $z \in E_0$ , satisfying  $Tz \in j_F(F)$ .



<ロ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 < 3000 47/57

### S-LRP for maximal Banach ideals I

Suppose that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Is then the following transfer of the strong LRP to (the norm of)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  satisfied?



<ロト</th>
日本
日本<

### S-LRP for maximal Banach ideals I

Suppose that  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Is then the following transfer of the strong LRP to (the norm of)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  satisfied?

#### Natural Question

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let F be an arbitrary Banach space,  $M \in FIN$  an arbitrary finite dimensional space,  $N \in FIN(F')$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F'')$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Does there exist an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  such that

(i) 
$$\|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$
,

(ii) 
$$\langle Sx, b \rangle = \langle b, Tx \rangle$$
 for all  $(x, b) \in M \times N$ ,

(iii)  $j_FSx = Tx$  for all  $x \in M$ , satisfying  $Tx \in j_F(F)$ ?

#### Definition

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let *F* be an arbitrary Banach space,  $M \in \mathsf{FIN}$  an arbitrary finite dimensional space,  $N \in \mathsf{FIN}(F')$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F'')$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If there exist an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  such that

(i) 
$$\|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$
,

(ii) 
$$\langle Sx, b \rangle = \langle b, Tx \rangle$$
 for all  $(x, b) \in M \times N$ ,

we say that the maximal Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  satisfies the  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ -weak principle of local reflexivity.

<ロ > < 団 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < 巨 > 三 < 30,00 48/57

#### Definition

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let *F* be an arbitrary Banach space,  $M \in \mathsf{FIN}$  an arbitrary finite dimensional space,  $N \in \mathsf{FIN}(F')$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F'')$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If there exist an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  such that

(i) 
$$\|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$
,

(ii) 
$$\langle Sx, b \rangle = \langle b, Tx \rangle$$
 for all  $(x, b) \in M \times N$ ,

we say that the maximal Banach ideal  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  satisfies the  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ -weak principle of local reflexivity.

We know that the  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ -weak principle of local reflexivity already implies that  $j_FSx = Tx$  for all  $x \in M$ , satisfying  $Tx \in j_F(F)$  (i. e., point (iii) above).

South

School of Mat



Theorem Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:



School of M

<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 49/57

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_A)$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

• The  $\|\cdot\|_{A}$ -weak principle of local reflexivity is satisfied;

South

<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 49/57

School of 1

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- The  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ -weak principle of local reflexivity is satisfied;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}})$  is left-accessible.

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- The  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ -weak principle of local reflexivity is satisfied;
- $(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}})$  is left-accessible.

Let us recall the following - non-trivial - result from 1991:

South

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- The  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ -weak principle of local reflexivity is satisfied;
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right)$  is left-accessible.

Let us recall the following - non-trivial - result from 1991:

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Then  $(\mathcal{A}^{\triangle dd}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\triangle dd}})$  is accessible.

South

<ロ > < 母 > < 喜 > < 喜 > 言 約 0.00 49/57

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

- The  $\left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}}$  -weak principle of local reflexivity is satisfied;
- $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right)$  is left-accessible.

Let us recall the following - non-trivial - result from 1991:

Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Then  $(\mathcal{A}^{\triangle dd}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\triangle dd}})$  is accessible.

In fact, we have:

#### Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Then  $(\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\bigtriangleup}})$  is accessible!

#### Theorem (S-LRP for maximal Banach ideals)

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let F be an arbitrary Banach space,  $M \in FIN$  an arbitrary finite dimensional space,  $N \in FIN(F')$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F'')$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$  such that

<ロ > < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 三 50/57

(i) 
$$\|S\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$
;

(ii) 
$$\langle Sx, b \rangle = \langle b, Tx \rangle$$
 for all  $(x, b) \in M \times N$ ;

(iii)  $j_FSx = Tx$  for all  $x \in M$ , satisfying  $Tx \in j_F(F)$ .

<ロ > < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 三 51/57

Let us conclude this presentation with the following non-trivial application of the LRP for maximal Banach ideals:

#### Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let *E* and *F* be Banach spaces. Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

<ロ > < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 三 51/57

Let us conclude this presentation with the following non-trivial application of the LRP for maximal Banach ideals:

#### Theorem

Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|_{A})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let *E* and *F* be Banach spaces. Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) E' has MAP;

<ロト<団ト<臣ト<臣ト 王 51/57

Let us conclude this presentation with the following non-trivial application of the LRP for maximal Banach ideals:

#### Theorem

Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|_{A})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let *E* and *F* be Banach spaces. Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) E' has MAP;
- (ii) F has MAP;

<ロ > < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 三 51/57

Let us conclude this presentation with the following non-trivial application of the LRP for maximal Banach ideals:

#### Theorem

Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|_{A})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let *E* and *F* be Banach spaces. Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) E' has MAP;
- (ii) F has MAP;
- (iii)  $(\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}})$  is totally-accessible.

Then

Let us conclude this presentation with the following non-trivial application of the LRP for maximal Banach ideals:

#### Theorem

Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|_{A})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Let *E* and *F* be Banach spaces. Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) E' has MAP;
- (ii) F has MAP;

(iii)  $(\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}})$  is totally-accessible.

Then

$$\mathcal{A}^{min}(E,F) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}}(E,F) \stackrel{1}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}(E,F) \,,$$

and  $||T||_{\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}} = ||T||_{\mathcal{A}^{min}}$  for every  $T \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}^{*\triangle}}(E,F)$ .

<ロ > < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 三 51/57

School of Mat

The latter result immediately implies the following known statements:

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

The latter result immediately implies the following known statements:

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE: (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;

South

<ロト<団ト<三ト<三ト<三ト<三ト 52/57

The latter result immediately implies the following known statements:

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE: (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}^{min}(E, \cdot) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}}(E, \cdot) \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{A}(E, \cdot)$  for all Banach spaces *E* such that *E'* has MAP.

South

The latter result immediately implies the following known statements:

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE: (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}^{min}(E, \cdot) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}}(E, \cdot) \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{A}(E, \cdot)$  for all Banach spaces *E* such that *E'* has MAP.

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|_{A})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE:

South

<ロト<団ト<三ト<三ト<三ト<三ト 52/57

The latter result immediately implies the following known statements:

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE: (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}^{min}(E, \cdot) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}}(E, \cdot) \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{A}(E, \cdot)$  for all Banach spaces *E* such that *E'* has MAP.

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE: (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is left-accessible;

South

<ロト<団ト<三ト<三ト<三ト<三ト 52/57

The latter result immediately implies the following known statements:

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE: (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is right-accessible;

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}^{min}(E, \cdot) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}}(E, \cdot) \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{A}(E, \cdot)$  for all Banach spaces *E* such that *E'* has MAP.

Corollary (Defant/Floret - 1993) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. TFAE: (i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is left-accessible;

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}^{min}(\cdot, F) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\cdot, F) \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{A}(\cdot, F)$  for all Banach spaces *F* such that *F* has MAP.





### 2 From $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ to $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{new}}})$

3 Accessible and quasi-accessible operator ideals

- 4 The principle of local reflexivity for operator ideals
- **5** A few open problems





<ロ > < 回 > < 直 > < 亘 > < 亘 > 三 54/57

Suppose *T* ∈ *N*<sup>△</sup>(*E*, *F*), where (*E*, *F*) are arbitrary Banach spaces. Does *T* factor through a Banach space which has the AP?



<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 54/57

- Suppose *T* ∈ *N*<sup>△</sup>(*E*, *F*), where (*E*, *F*) are arbitrary Banach spaces. Does *T* factor through a Banach space which has the AP?
- Suppose *T* ∈ *I*<sup>△</sup>(*E*, *F*), where (*E*, *F*) are arbitrary Banach spaces. Does *T* factor through a Banach space which has the BAP?



<ロ > < 回 > < 直 > < 亘 > < 亘 > 三 54/57

- Suppose *T* ∈ *N*<sup>△</sup>(*E*, *F*), where (*E*, *F*) are arbitrary Banach spaces. Does *T* factor through a Banach space which has the AP?
- Suppose *T* ∈ *I*<sup>△</sup>(*E*, *F*), where (*E*, *F*) are arbitrary Banach spaces. Does *T* factor through a Banach space which has the BAP?
- Is the following isometric equality true:

$$\left(\mathcal{K}\circ\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup},\left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{K}\circ\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right)\stackrel{(?)}{=}\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}},\left\|\cdot\right\|\right)\stackrel{(?)}{=}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}\circ\mathcal{K},\left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}\circ\mathcal{K}}\right)?$$


<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 54/57

- Suppose *T* ∈ *N*<sup>△</sup>(*E*, *F*), where (*E*, *F*) are arbitrary Banach spaces. Does *T* factor through a Banach space which has the AP?
- Suppose *T* ∈ *I*<sup>△</sup>(*E*, *F*), where (*E*, *F*) are arbitrary Banach spaces. Does *T* factor through a Banach space which has the BAP?
- Is the following isometric equality true:

$$\left(\mathcal{K}\circ\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup},\left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{K}\circ\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}}\right)\stackrel{(?)}{=}\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}},\left\|\cdot\right\|\right)\stackrel{(?)}{=}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}\circ\mathcal{K},\left\|\cdot\right\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\bigtriangleup}\circ\mathcal{K}}\right)?$$

• Describe useful relations between  $(\mathcal{N}^{\triangle dual}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{N}^{\triangle dual}})$  and  $(\mathcal{I}^{\triangle dual}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\triangle dual}})!$ 

## A very few references I

Southamp School of Mathem

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- [1] A. Defant and K. Floret. Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals. North-Holland 1993.
- [2] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge.
  Absolutely Summing Operators.
  Cambridge 1995.
- [3] A. K. Karn and D. P. Sinha.

Compactness and an approximation property related to an operator ideal.

arXiv:1207.1947v1 [math.FA] 9 Jul 2012.

 [4] A. Maji and P. D. Srivastava.
 Some class of operator ideals.
 Int. J. Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 83, No. 5 (2013), 731-740.

# A very few references II



<ロ > < 団 > < 巨 > < 巨 > 三 56/57

### 5] F. Oertel

Extension of Finite Rank Operators and Operator Ideals with the Property (I). *Math. Nachr.* **238** (2002), 144-159.

#### 🥫 [5] R. A. Ryan.

Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces. *Springer 2002.* 



## Thank you for your attention!





### Thank you for your attention!

## Are there any questions, comments or remarks?

