

F. Oertel

Compositions of operator ideals and their regular hulls

In: Bohuslav Balcar (ed.): Proceedings of the 23rd Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Charles University, Praha, 1995. Acta Universitatis Carolinae - Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 36, No. 2. pp. 69–72.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702027>

Terms of use:

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Compositions of Operator Ideals and their Regular Hulls

F. OERTEL

Zurich*)

Received 15. March 1995

Given two quasi-Banach ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} we investigate the regular hull of their composition – $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B})^{reg}$. In concrete situations this regular hull appears more often than the composition itself. As a first example we obtain a description for the regular hull of the nuclear operators which is a “reflected” Grothendieck representation: $\mathcal{N}^{reg} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W}$ (theorem 2.1). Further we recognize that the class of such ideals leads to interesting relations concerning the question of the accessibility of (injective) operator ideals.

1. Introduction and notations

In this paper we only deal with Banach spaces and most of our notations and definitions concerning Banach spaces and operator ideals are standard and can be found in the detailed monographs [2] and [9]. However, if $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{B})$ are given quasi-Banach ideals, we will use the shorter notation $(\mathcal{A}^d, \mathbf{A}^d)$ for the dual ideal (instead of $(\mathcal{A}^{dual}, \mathbf{A}^{dual})$) and the abbreviation $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{B}$ for the equality $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A}) = (\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{B})$. The inclusion $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A}) \subseteq (\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{B})$ is often shortened by $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, and if $T: E \rightarrow F$ is an operator, we indicate that it is a metric injection by writing $T: E \hookrightarrow F$.

Each section of this paper includes the more special terminology which is not so common. Besides the maximal Banach ideal $(\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|)$ we will mainly be concerned with $(\mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|)$ (approximable operators), $(\mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|)$ (compact operators), $(\mathcal{W}, \|\cdot\|)$ (weakly compact operators), $(\mathcal{N}, \mathbf{N})$ (nuclear operators), $(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{I})$ (integral operators), $(\mathcal{P}_p, \mathbf{P}_p)$ (absolutely p -summing operators), $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $(\mathcal{L}_\infty, \mathbf{L}_\infty) \stackrel{\perp}{=} (\mathcal{P}_1^*, \mathbf{P}_1^*)$.

Since it is important for us, we recall the notion of the conjugate operator ideal (cf. [3], [5]): let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ be a quasi-Banach ideal. Let $\mathcal{A}^\Delta(E, F)$ be the set of all $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ for which

$$\mathbf{A}^\Delta(T) := \sup \{tr(TL) \mid L \in \mathcal{F}(F, E), \mathbf{A}(L) \leq 1\} < \infty.$$

Then a Banach ideal is obtained. It is called the *conjugate ideal* of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$.

*) Credit Suisse, Edm 11, CH-8070 Zurich, Switzerland

Denote for given Banach spaces E and F

$$\text{FIN}(E) := \{M \subseteq E \mid M \in \text{FIN}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{COFIN}(E) := \{L \subseteq E \mid E/L \in \text{FIN}\},$$

where FIN stands for the class of all finite dimensional Banach spaces.

A quasi-Banach ideal $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ is called *right-accessible*, if for all $(M, F) \in \text{FIN} \times \text{BAN}$, operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, F)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $N \in \text{FIN}(F)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(M, N)$ such that $T = J_N^F S$ and $\mathbf{A}(S) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \mathbf{A}(T)$. It is called *left-accessible*, if for all $(E, N) \in \text{BAN} \times \text{FIN}$, operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, N)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $L \in \text{COFIN}(E)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(E/L, N)$ such that $T = S Q_L^E$ and $\mathbf{A}(S) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \mathbf{A}(T)$. A left- and right-accessible ideal is called *accessible*. $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ is *totally accessible*, if for every finite rank operator $T \in \mathcal{F}(E, F)$ between Banach spaces and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $(L, N) \in \text{COFIN}(E) \times \text{FIN}(F)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(E/L, N)$ such that $T = J_N^F S Q_L^E$ and $\mathbf{A}(S) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \mathbf{A}(T)$.

Every injective quasi-Banach ideal is right-accessible (every surjective ideal is left-accessible) and, if it is left-accessible, it is totally accessible.

2. Compositions of operator ideals and applications to nuclear operators

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ be a p -Banach ideal and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{B})$ be a q -Banach ideal ($0 < p, q \leq 1$). Then:

Lemma 2.1. $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B})^{\text{reg}} \stackrel{1}{\subseteq} \mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}} \circ \mathcal{B}^{\text{inj}}$.

Proof. Let E, F be Banach spaces, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T \in (\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B})^{\text{reg}}(E, F)$. Then there are a Banach space G , operators $R \in \mathcal{A}(G, F)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(E, G)$ such that $j_F T = RS$ and $\mathbf{A}(R) \mathbf{B}(S) < (1 + \varepsilon) (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B})^{\text{reg}}(T)$. Let C be the (closed) range of $j_F : F \hookrightarrow F''$. Then $G_0 := R^{-1}(C)$ is a closed subspace of G . Let $S_0 \in \mathcal{L}(E, G_0)$ be defined by $S_0 x := Sx$ ($x \in E$). Then $J_{G_0}^G S_0 = S \in \mathcal{B}(E, G)$. Hence $S_0 \in \mathcal{B}^{\text{inj}}(E, G_0)$ and $\mathbf{B}^{\text{inj}}(S_0) \leq \mathbf{B}(S)$. Now let $\gamma : C \rightarrow F$ be defined canonically and γ_0 be the restriction of γ to C_0 where C_0 is the closure of $R J_{G_0}^G(G_0)$. Setting $V := \gamma_0 R_0$ with $R_0 : G_0 \rightarrow C_0$, $R_0 z := Rz$ ($z \in G_0$) the construction implies that $j_F V = R J_{G_0}^G S_0 \in \mathcal{A}(G_0, F)$. Hence $V \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}(G_0, F)$, $\mathbf{A}^{\text{reg}}(V) \leq \mathbf{A}(R)$ and $j_F T = RS = (R J_{G_0}^G S_0) S_0 = j_F V S_0$. It follows that $T = V S_0 \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}} \circ \mathcal{B}^{\text{inj}}(E, F)$ and $\mathbf{A}^{\text{reg}}(V) \mathbf{B}^{\text{inj}}(S_0) \leq \mathbf{A}(R) \mathbf{B}(S) < (1 + \varepsilon) (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B})^{\text{reg}}(T)$ and the proof is finished. \square

Corollary 2.1. Let $0 < p, q \leq 1$, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ be a p -Banach ideal and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{B})$ be a q -Banach ideal. Then $\mathcal{B}^{\text{reg}} \stackrel{1}{\subseteq} \mathcal{B}^{\text{inj}}$. If in addition \mathcal{B} is injective then $(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B})^{\text{reg}} \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}} \circ \mathcal{B}$.

Next we show that lemma 2.1 (and the notion of accessibility) yields a description of the regular hull of nuclear operators \mathcal{N}^{reg} as a ‘‘reflected’’ Grothendieck representation of the ideal \mathcal{N} . The Grothendieck representation states that $\mathcal{N} \stackrel{1}{=} \mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{I}$ (cf. [9], 24.6.2).

Theorem 2.1. $(\mathcal{N}^d, \mathbf{N}^d) = (\mathcal{N}^{\text{reg}}, \mathbf{N}^{\text{reg}}) = (\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{I}) \circ (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) = (\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{I}) \circ (\mathcal{W}, \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof. Since I is a (right-)accessible ideal it follows that $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{G} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{N}$, and lemma 2.1 implies

$$\mathcal{N}^{reg} \stackrel{\perp}{=} (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{G})^{reg} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{G}^{inj} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{K} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W}.$$

To prove the other inclusion observe that $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W} \stackrel{\perp}{=} (\mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{I})^d$ (since $\mathcal{W} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{W}^d$ and $\mathcal{I} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{I}^d$). Hence $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{N}^d \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{N}^{reg}$. \square

This representation leads to interesting consequences concerning the ideal $\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}$, in particular to the conjugate of this ideal. Note that $id_E \notin \mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}$ for each Banach space E which has not the approximation property. To prepare this discussion we need the following.

Lemma 2.2. $(\mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|) \subseteq (\mathcal{I}^\Delta, \mathbf{I}^\Delta)$.

Proof. Let E, F be Banach spaces, $T \in \mathcal{G}(E, F)$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}(F, E)$ be an arbitrary finite operator. Then there exist $b_1, \dots, b_n \in F'$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ such that $Ly = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle y, b_i \rangle x_i$ ($y \in F$). Let $(T_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite operators such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T - T_m\| = 0$. Then for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_m x_i, b_i \rangle = \langle T x_i, b_i \rangle$. Thus

$$|tr(TL)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |tr(T_m L)| \leq \|T\| \mathbf{I}(L)$$

which implies that $T \in \mathcal{I}^\Delta(E, F)$ and $\mathbf{I}^\Delta(T) \leq \|T\|$. \square

Remark. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ be an arbitrary p -Banach ideal ($0 < p \leq 1$). Using an analogous proof and the definition of \mathcal{A}^{min} we obtain a generalization of the previous lemma:

$$(\mathcal{A}^{min}, \mathbf{A}^{min}) \subseteq (\mathcal{A}^{*\Delta}, \mathbf{A}^{*\Delta}) \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.1. $\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}$ is a totally accessible regular Banach ideal which is not maximal. Moreover $\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{N}^\Delta$ and $\mathcal{I} \stackrel{\perp}{=} (\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G})^\Delta$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{K} is an injective Banach ideal, theorem 2.1 implies that $\mathcal{N}^{reg\Delta} \stackrel{\perp}{=} (\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{K})^\Delta \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{I}^\Delta$. Hence $\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{I}^\Delta \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{N}^\Delta$. Since \mathcal{N}^Δ is totally accessible it follows that (cf. [8], theorem 3.1.) $\mathcal{I} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{N}^{\Delta\Delta} \stackrel{\perp}{=} (\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G})^\Delta \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{I}$. Suppose $\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}$ is a maximal Banach ideal. Then $\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \stackrel{\perp}{=} (\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G})^{**} \stackrel{\perp}{=} (\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G})^{\Delta*} \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{I}^* \stackrel{\perp}{=} \mathcal{L}$ which is a contradiction. The total accessibility of \mathcal{L} implies the total accessibility of $\mathcal{K}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}$ and the regularity follows by a straight forward calculation. \square

We will finish this paper with another interesting application of lemma 2.1.

3. On operator ideals which factor through conjugates

In the following let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ be an arbitrary maximal Banach ideal. Then the product ideal $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty$ is left-accessible (see [8], corollary 4.1). Using corollary

2.1 we will show that $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty$ is strongly related to (the right-accessible ideal) $\mathcal{A}^{*\Delta}$ and to accessibility properties of the injective hull of \mathcal{A}^* (see [8], theorem 3.1).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{A})$ be a maximal Banach ideal. Then*

$$\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty \stackrel{\perp}{\subseteq} (\mathcal{A}^{*\Delta} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty)^{inj} \stackrel{\perp}{\subseteq} (\mathcal{A}^{*\Delta})^{inj}.$$

Proof. Let E, F be Banach spaces, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty(E, F)$. Then there exists a Banach space G , operators $R \in \mathcal{A}(G, F)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(E, G)$ such that $j_F T = R j_G S$ and $\mathbf{A}(R) \mathbf{L}_\infty(S) < (1 + \varepsilon) (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{L}_\infty)(T)$. Since $\mathcal{L}_\infty \stackrel{\perp}{\subseteq} \mathcal{L}$ there are a compact space K , operators $U \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), G'')$ and $V \in \mathcal{L}(E, C(K))$ such that $j_G S = UV$ and $\|U\| \|V\| < (1 + \varepsilon) \mathbf{L}_\infty(S)$. Hence $J_{F'} j_F T = (J_{F'} R'' U) V$ – with canonical embedding $J_{F'} : F'' \hookrightarrow (F'')^{inj}$. Since $R'' \in \mathcal{A}(G'', F'')$ and $\mathcal{A}(C(K), (F'')^{inj}) \stackrel{\perp}{\subseteq} \mathcal{A}^{*\Delta}(C(K), (F'')^{inj})$ (both $c(K)$ and $(F'')^{inj}$ have the metric approximation property (cf. [6])) it follows that $J_{F'} j_F T \in (\mathcal{A}^{*\Delta} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty)(E, (F'')^{inj})$ and $(\mathbf{A}^{*\Delta} \circ \mathbf{L}_\infty)(J_{F'} j_F T) \leq \mathbf{A}^{*\Delta}(J_{F'} R'' U) \mathbf{L}_\infty(V) \leq \mathbf{A}(R) \|U\| \|V\| < (1 + \varepsilon) \mathbf{A}(R) \mathbf{L}_\infty(S) < (1 + \varepsilon)^2 (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{L}_\infty)(T)$.

Hence $T \in ((\mathcal{A}^{*\Delta} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty)^{inj})^{reg}(E; F)$ and $((\mathbf{A}^{*\Delta} \circ \mathbf{L}_\infty)^{inj})^{reg}(T) \leq (\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{L}_\infty)(T)$. Corollary 2.1 now yields the claim. \square

Note that \mathcal{A}^{*inj} is left-accessible if \mathcal{A} is injective. However lemma 3.1 and ([8], proposition 4.1) imply a weaker condition:

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a maximal Banach ideal. If $\mathcal{A}^{*\Delta}$ is injective then \mathcal{A}^{*inj} is (totally) accessible.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}^{*\Delta}$ is injective, lemma 3.1 implies that $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty \stackrel{\perp}{\subseteq} \mathcal{A}^{*\Delta}$. Hence $\mathcal{A}^* \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty \stackrel{\perp}{\subseteq} \mathcal{I}$ and it follows that $\mathcal{A}^* \circ \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\perp}{\subseteq} \mathcal{P}$. ([8], proposition 4.1) finishes the proof. \square

References

- [1] DEFANT, A. *Produkte von Tensornormen*, Habilitationsschrift, Oldenburg 1986.
- [2] DEFANT, A. and FLORET, K. *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, North-Holland Amsterdam, London, New York, Tokio 1993.
- [3] GORDON, Y., LEWIS, D. R. and RETHERFORD, J. R. *Banach ideals of operators with applications*, J. Funct. Analysis 14 (1973), 85 – 129.
- [4] GROTHENDIECK, A. *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo 8 (1956), 1 – 79.
- [5] JARCHOW, H. and OTT, R. *On trace ideals*, Math. Nachr. 108 (1982), 23 – 37.
- [6] OERTEL, F. *Konjugierte Operatoreideale und das \mathcal{A} -lokale Reflexivitätsprinzip*, Dissertation, Kaiserslautern 1990.
- [7] OERTEL, F. *Operator ideals and the principle of local reflexivity*; Acta Universitatis Carolinae – Mathematica et Physica 33, No. 2 (1992), 115 – 120.
- [8] OERTEL, F. *Local properties of accessible injective operator ideals*, forthcoming in Studia Mathematica.
- [9] PIETSCH, A. *Operator ideals*, North – Holland Amsterdam, London, New York, Tokio 1980.